

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/669,656		FUTA ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	BARBARA N. BURGESS		2457	

All Participants:

(1) BARBARA N. BURGESS.

(2) Mark Pratt.

Date of Interview: 3 June 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

22-23, 26, 31, 33, 36, 38

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: Amendment

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: Afternoon

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Mark Pratt to discuss amendments to place the case into condition for allowance. Examiner proposed adding the limitation of claims 31, 33, 36 to the independent claims 22, 23, 26, and 38 respectively. Examiner proposed making amendments to claims 22, 23, 26, 38 to avoid 101 issues..